

# Turnpike theorems for terminal functionals in infinite horizon

Musa Mammadov  
Federation University Australia

WoMBaT 24-25 November 2016, RMIT

Joint work with R.J. Evans (University of Melbourne),  
*JMAA-2015*

Outline:

- Turnpike theory
- Continuous time systems
  - Terminal functionals
- Turnpike Theorems

## Turnpike Theory

### Optimal control problem:

- **System:**  $x_{t+1} \in a(x_t), \quad t = 0, 1, 2, \dots .$
- **Functional** Maximize:  $\sum_{t=0}^T \mathbf{u}$   
where  $\mathbf{u} = u(x_t)$  or  $\mathbf{u} = u(x_t, x_{t+1})$ .

**Turnpike property** describes the “structure/behaviour” of optimal solutions when  $T \rightarrow \infty$

- $\exists$  “turnpike set/point” that attracts all opt. solutions

- J.V. Neumann, 1932-1945 - first result obtained
  - 1932 - presented at a math.seminar at Princeton (D.Gale)
  - 1937 - published in Vienna
  - 1945 - translated into English
- P.A. Samuelson, 1948-1949 - Interpretation of Neumann's result
- 1958 - the term **Turnpike** was introduced in
  - *R. Dorfman, P.A. Samuelson and R.M. Solow, Linear Programming and Economic Analysis, 1958 (Chapter 12)*

- A.M. Rubinov, 1973 - Classification of the turnpike property (linear systems - Neumann-Gale model)
  - *V.L. Makarov and A.M. Rubinov*, Mathematical theory of economic dynamics and equilibria, 1973 (Russian)
  - translated into English, 1977
- L. McKenzie, 1976 - Nonlinear systems (bounded trajectories)
  - *L. McKenzie*, Turnpike Theory, *Econometrica* 44 (1976)

Discrete Systems: the main result

**Turnpike property is true for convex problems**

( *graph*  $a$  is convex,  $u$  is strongly concave)

## Continuous time systems

**System:**  $\dot{x} \in a(x)$

**Functional:** Utility fun. -  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t}) = u(x(t))$  or  $u(x(t), \dot{x}(t))$

1. Discounted integral:  $\int_0^\infty \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t}) e^{-rt} dt$

2. Undiscounted integral:  $\int_0^T \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t}) dt$

3. Terminal:  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t})$

**Main focus:** Convex Problems

- $\text{graph } a = \{(x, y) : x \in \Omega, y \in a(x)\} \Rightarrow$  is convex;
- $u \Rightarrow$  is strongly concave.

## Turnpike Theorems

### Problem (P):

$$\dot{x}(t) \in a(x(t)), \quad \text{a.e. } t \geq 0; \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Maximize : } J(\mathbf{x}) = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(x(t)). \quad (2)$$

- (i) multi-valued mapping  $a$  is defined on convex closed set  $\mathcal{D}_a$  with non-empty interior, has compact images and is upper semi-continuous in the Hausdorff metric;
- (ii) there exists a bounded solution defined on  $[0, \infty)$ ; that is, the set of solutions denoted by  $\mathbf{X} \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (iii) function  $u$  is continuous on  $\mathcal{D}_u$ , where  $\mathcal{D}_a \subset \text{int } \mathcal{D}_u$ .

- $u$  will be assumed to be quasi-concave or strictly quasi-concave on  $\mathcal{D}_u$ :

Function  $u$  is called quasi-concave if for every  $x_1 \neq x_2$

$$u(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \geq \min\{u(x_1), u(x_2)\}, \quad \forall \lambda \in (0, 1).$$

If the above inequality is strong,  $u(x)$  is called strictly quasi-concave.

- The set of stationary points

$$M \triangleq \{x \in \Omega, 0 \in \text{co } a(x)\}$$

- $x^* \in M$  is optimal stationary point if

$$u(x^*) = \max_{x \in M} u(x)$$



## Class of multi-valued mappings $\mathcal{A}$ :

given any set  $A \subset \mathcal{D}_a$

$$\text{if } 0 \in \text{co } a(A) \quad \text{then} \quad 0 \in \text{co } a(x), \exists x \in \text{co } A. \quad (A)$$

Clearly if  $a$  has convex images then:

$$0 \in \text{co } a(A) \quad \Rightarrow \quad 0 \in a(\text{co } A). \quad (A^c)$$

The class  $\mathcal{A}$  is quite broad.

*1: Convex mappings.* Denote the graph of mapping  $a$  by

$$\text{graph } a \triangleq \{(x, y) : x \in \mathcal{D}_a, y \in a(x)\}.$$

It is easy to verify that if  $\text{graph } a$  is a convex set then condition (A) holds.

Mappings with convex graphs are very important in many applications.

For example, macroeconomic models are usually convex.

2: *Linear mappings.* Consider linear systems where mapping  $a$  is given by

$$a(x) = \{Bx + Cu; \quad u \in U\}.$$

Here  $B$  and  $C$  are  $n \times n$  and  $n \times r$  matrices and  $U \subset R^r$  is any given set (not necessarily convex). Again, it is not difficult to verify that condition (A) holds without imposing any assumptions on matrices  $B$ ,  $C$  and set  $U$ .

## Main results

**Theorem 0.1:** (Upper bound of the functional) Assume that  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and function  $u$  is quasi-concave. Then

$$J(\mathbf{x}) \leq u^* \quad \text{for all solutions } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}. \quad (3)$$

**Theorem 0.2:** (Turnpike property) Assume that  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , function  $u$  is strictly quasi-concave and there exists a unique o.s.p.  $x^*$ . Then any solution  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}$  satisfying  $J(\mathbf{x}) = u(x^*)$  (i.e. optimal by Theorem 0.1) converges to  $x^*$ ; that is,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = x^*, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}, \quad J(\mathbf{x}) = u(x^*). \quad (4)$$

**Corollary 0.3:** Assume that  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $M$  is nonempty, convex and bounded. Then given any strictly quasi-concave function  $u$ , there exists a unique o.s.p.  $x^*$  and (4) holds.

The proof of Theorem 0.1 is based on the following result:

If  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  then

$$\text{co } P(\mathbf{x}) \cap M \neq \emptyset, \quad \forall \text{ bounded solutions } \mathbf{x}. \quad (5)$$

Here  $P(\mathbf{x})$  is the set of  $\omega$ -limit points:

$$P(\mathbf{x}) \triangleq \{\xi : x(t_k) \rightarrow \xi \text{ for some } t_k \rightarrow \infty\}.$$

Interesting question: can (5) be satisfied if  $a \notin \mathcal{A}$ ?

- YES in  $R^2$  (we do not have proof for this statement);
- NOT if  $R^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ; that is, relation (5) may not be satisfied for some bounded solution  $\mathbf{x}$  if  $a \notin \mathcal{A}$ .

**Example:**

$$a(x, y, z) = \{(-y, x, 1 - x^2 - y^2) : (x, y, z) \in R^3\}.$$

The solution from an initial point  $(1, 0, 0)$  can be obtained as follows:

$$x(t) = \cos(t), \quad y(t) = \sin(t), \quad z(t) = 0, \quad t \in [0, \infty).$$

This solution is bounded and its  $\omega$ -limit set is given by

$$P = \{(x, y, z) : z = 0, x^2 + y^2 = 1\}.$$

It easy to verify that the set

$$\text{co } P = \{(x, y, z) : z = 0, x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$$

does not contain any stationary point; that is (5) does not hold.

It can also be shown that  $a \notin \mathcal{A}$ .

**1.** Since  $a(x, y, z)$  is a singleton  $0 \in \text{co } a(x, y, z) \cong 0 = a(x, y, z)$ .

Now let  $(\dot{x}, \dot{y}, \dot{z}) = a(x, y, z)$ . Clearly, if  $x^2 + y^2 = 1$  either  $\dot{x} \neq 0$  or  $\dot{y} \neq 0$ ; on the other hand, if  $x^2 + y^2 < 1$  then  $\dot{z} \neq 0$ . Thus,  $0 \notin a(x, y, z)$  for all  $(x, y, z) \in \text{co } P$ .

**2.** Since the images of  $a$  are convex (i.e. singleton) we verify condition  $(A^c)$ .

Consider the set of two points  $A = \{(1, 0, 0), (-1, 0, 0)\} \subset P$ . We have  $a(1, 0, 0) = (0, 1, 0)$ ,  $a(-1, 0, 0) = (0, -1, 0)$ , and therefore

$$(0, 0, 0) = \frac{1}{2} a(1, 0, 0) + \frac{1}{2} a(-1, 0, 0) \in \text{co } a(A).$$

However,  $(0, 0, 0) \notin a(\text{co } A)$ . Indeed, for any  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  for the points

$$(x_\lambda, y_\lambda, z_\lambda) = \lambda (1, 0, 0) + (1 - \lambda)(-1, 0, 0) = (2\lambda - 1, 0, 0) \in \text{co } A$$

we have

$$a(x_\lambda, y_\lambda, z_\lambda) = (0, 2\lambda - 1, 1 - (2\lambda - 1)^2) \neq (0, 0, 0), \quad \forall \lambda \in [0, 1]$$

which means that  $a \notin \mathcal{A}$ .

**THANK YOU**