

Some Glimpses on Convex Subdifferential Calculus

Marco A. López Cerdá

Alicante University

November 24, 2016

1. Introduction

The main objective of this talk is **twofold**:

- We provide a general formula for the **optimal set of a relaxed minimization problem** in terms of the *approximate minima* of the data function.

1. Introduction

The main objective of this talk is **twofold**:

- We provide a general formula for the **optimal set of a relaxed minimization problem** in terms of the *approximate minima* of the data function.
- We apply this result to derive explicit characterizations for the *subdifferential mapping of the supremum function of an arbitrarily indexed* family of functions, exclusively in terms of the data functions.

1. Introduction

The main objective of this talk is **twofold**:

- We provide a general formula for the **optimal set of a relaxed minimization problem** in terms of the *approximate minima* of the data function.
- We apply this result to derive explicit characterizations for the *subdifferential mapping of the supremum function of an arbitrarily indexed* family of functions, exclusively in terms of the data functions.
- Some applications to the *subdifferential calculus* are also given.

1. Introduction

The main objective of this talk is **twofold**:

- We provide a general formula for the **optimal set of a relaxed minimization problem** in terms of the *approximate minima* of the data function.
- We apply this result to derive explicit characterizations for the *subdifferential mapping of the supremum function of an arbitrarily indexed* family of functions, exclusively in terms of the data functions.
- Some applications to the *subdifferential calculus* are also given.

Summary

Summary

- 1 Formula for the optimal set of the *relaxed problem*.

Summary

- 1 Formula for the optimal set of the *relaxed problem*.
- 2 Subdifferential of the *supremum function*.

Summary

- 1 Formula for the optimal set of the *relaxed problem*.
- 2 Subdifferential of the *supremum function*.
- 3 Particular cases:

Summary

- 1 Formula for the optimal set of the *relaxed problem*.
- 2 Subdifferential of the *supremum function*.
- 3 Particular cases:
 - a. Formula for *affine functions*.
 - b. *Volle's* formula.

Summary

- 1 Formula for the optimal set of the *relaxed problem*.
- 2 Subdifferential of the *supremum function*.
- 3 Particular cases:
 - a. Formula for *affine functions*.
 - b. *Volle's* formula.
- 4 Calculus rules:

Summary

- 1 Formula for the optimal set of the *relaxed problem*.
- 2 Subdifferential of the *supremum function*.
- 3 Particular cases:
 - a. Formula for *affine functions*.
 - b. *Volle's* formula.
- 4 Calculus rules:
 - a. Subdifferential for the *sum function*.
 - b. *Weakening* assumptions

2. Notations and basic tools

X : (real) separated locally convex space.

2. Notations and basic tools

X : (real) separated locally convex space.

X^* : dual space.

X and X^* are paired in duality by the bilinear form

$$(x^*, x) \in X^* \times X \mapsto \langle x, x^* \rangle$$

2. Notations and basic tools

X : (real) separated locally convex space.

X^* : dual space.

X and X^* are paired in duality by the bilinear form

$$(x^*, x) \in X^* \times X \mapsto \langle x, x^* \rangle$$

θ : zero in all the involved spaces.

2. Notations and basic tools

X : (real) separated locally convex space.

X^* : dual space.

X and X^* are paired in duality by the bilinear form

$$(x^*, x) \in X^* \times X \mapsto \langle x, x^* \rangle$$

θ : zero in all the involved spaces.

Given $A, B \subset X$ (or in X^*), we consider the operations:

$$A + B := \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}, \quad A + \emptyset := \emptyset + A := \emptyset;$$

and, if $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$ we set

$$\Lambda A := \{\lambda a \mid \lambda \in \Lambda, a \in A\}, \quad \Lambda \emptyset := \emptyset \Lambda = \emptyset.$$

co A : *convex hull* of A ,

cone A : *conic hull* of A (not convex; i.e. $\text{cone } A = \mathbb{R}_+ A$),

aff A : *affine hull* of the set A ,

co A : *convex hull* of A ,

cone A : *conic hull* of A (not convex; i.e. $\text{cone } A = \mathbb{R}_+ A$),

aff A : *affine hull* of the set A ,

int A : *interior* of A ,

cl A and \bar{A} : *closure* of A (w^* -closure if $A \subset X^*$).

ri A : *topological relative interior* of A (i.e., the interior of A in the topology relative to $\text{aff } A$ if $\text{aff } A$ is closed, and the empty set otherwise).

$\text{co } A$: convex hull of A ,

$\text{cone } A$: conic hull of A (not convex; i.e. $\text{cone } A = \mathbb{R}_+ A$),

$\text{aff } A$: affine hull of the set A ,

$\text{int } A$: interior of A ,

$\text{cl } A$ and \bar{A} : closure of A (w^* -closure if $A \subset X^*$).

$\text{ri } A$: topological relative interior of A (i.e., the interior of A in the topology relative to $\text{aff } A$ if $\text{aff } A$ is closed, and the empty set otherwise).

$A^\circ := \{x^* \in X^* \mid \langle x, x^* \rangle \geq -1 \forall x \in A\}$: (one-sided) polar of A .

$A^- := -(\text{cone } A)^\circ = \{x^* \in X^* \mid \langle x, x^* \rangle \leq 0 \forall x \in A\}$: negative dual cone of A .

$A^\perp := (-A^-) \cap A^- = \{x^* \in X^* \mid \langle x, x^* \rangle = 0 \forall x \in A\}$: orthogonal subspace (or annihilator) of A .

$\text{co } A$: convex hull of A ,

$\text{cone } A$: conic hull of A (not convex; i.e. $\text{cone } A = \mathbb{R}_+ A$),

$\text{aff } A$: affine hull of the set A ,

$\text{int } A$: interior of A ,

$\text{cl } A$ and \bar{A} : closure of A (w^* -closure if $A \subset X^*$).

$\text{ri } A$: topological relative interior of A (i.e., the interior of A in the topology relative to $\text{aff } A$ if $\text{aff } A$ is closed, and the empty set otherwise).

$A^\circ := \{x^* \in X^* \mid \langle x, x^* \rangle \geq -1 \forall x \in A\}$: (one-sided) polar of A .

$A^- := -(\text{cone } A)^\circ = \{x^* \in X^* \mid \langle x, x^* \rangle \leq 0 \forall x \in A\}$: negative dual cone of A .

$A^\perp := (-A^-) \cap A^- = \{x^* \in X^* \mid \langle x, x^* \rangle = 0 \forall x \in A\}$: orthogonal subspace (or annihilator) of A .

$N_A(x) := (A - x)^-$, with A convex and $x \in X$: normal cone to A at $x \in A$.

$\text{co } A$: convex hull of A ,

$\text{cone } A$: conic hull of A (not convex; i.e. $\text{cone } A = \mathbb{R}_+ A$),

$\text{aff } A$: affine hull of the set A ,

$\text{int } A$: interior of A ,

$\text{cl } A$ and \bar{A} : closure of A (w^* -closure if $A \subset X^*$).

$\text{ri } A$: topological relative interior of A (i.e., the interior of A in the topology relative to $\text{aff } A$ if $\text{aff } A$ is closed, and the empty set otherwise).

$A^\circ := \{x^* \in X^* \mid \langle x, x^* \rangle \geq -1 \ \forall x \in A\}$: (one-sided) polar of A .

$A^- := -(\text{cone } A)^\circ = \{x^* \in X^* \mid \langle x, x^* \rangle \leq 0 \ \forall x \in A\}$: negative dual cone of A .

$A^\perp := (-A^-) \cap A^- = \{x^* \in X^* \mid \langle x, x^* \rangle = 0 \ \forall x \in A\}$: orthogonal subspace (or annihilator) of A .

$N_A(x) := (A - x)^-$, with A convex and $x \in X$: normal cone to A at $x \in A$.

$A_\infty := \{y \in X \mid x + \lambda y \in X \text{ for some } x \in X \text{ and } \forall \lambda \geq 0\}$, with A closed and convex: recession cone.

Given $h : X \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$, its (effective) domain , epigraph and graph are:

$$\text{dom } h := \{x \in X \mid h(x) < +\infty\},$$

$$\text{epi } h := \{(x, \alpha) \in X \times \mathbb{R} \mid h(x) \leq \alpha\},$$

$$\text{gph } h := \{(x, h(x)) \in X \times \mathbb{R} \mid x \in \text{dom } h\}.$$

Given $h : X \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$, its (effective) domain, epigraph and graph are:

$$\text{dom } h := \{x \in X \mid h(x) < +\infty\},$$

$$\text{epi } h := \{(x, \alpha) \in X \times \mathbb{R} \mid h(x) \leq \alpha\},$$

$$\text{gph } h := \{(x, h(x)) \in X \times \mathbb{R} \mid x \in \text{dom } h\}.$$

h is *proper* if $\text{dom } h \neq \emptyset$ and $h(x) > -\infty$ for all $x \in X$, and is *convex* iff $\text{epi } h$ is convex.

Given $h : X \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$, its (*effective*) *domain* , *epigraph* and *graph* are:

$$\text{dom } h := \{x \in X \mid h(x) < +\infty\},$$

$$\text{epi } h := \{(x, \alpha) \in X \times \mathbb{R} \mid h(x) \leq \alpha\},$$

$$\text{gph } h := \{(x, h(x)) \in X \times \mathbb{R} \mid x \in \text{dom } h\}.$$

h is *proper* if $\text{dom } h \neq \emptyset$ and $h(x) > -\infty$ for all $x \in X$, and is *convex* iff $\text{epi } h$ is convex.

The *lsc convex hull* of h is the lsc convex function $\overline{\text{co}}h : X \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ such that

$$\text{epi}(\overline{\text{co}}h) = \overline{\text{co}}(\text{epi } h).$$

Given $h : X \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$, its (*effective*) *domain* , *epigraph* and *graph* are:

$$\text{dom } h := \{x \in X \mid h(x) < +\infty\},$$

$$\text{epi } h := \{(x, \alpha) \in X \times \mathbb{R} \mid h(x) \leq \alpha\},$$

$$\text{gph } h := \{(x, h(x)) \in X \times \mathbb{R} \mid x \in \text{dom } h\}.$$

h is *proper* if $\text{dom } h \neq \emptyset$ and $h(x) > -\infty$ for all $x \in X$, and is *convex* iff $\text{epi } h$ is convex.

The *lsc convex hull* of h is the lsc convex function $\overline{\text{co}}h : X \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ such that

$$\text{epi}(\overline{\text{co}}h) = \overline{\text{co}}(\text{epi } h).$$

$\Gamma(X)$: proper lsc convex functions.

$h^*(x^*) := \sup\{\langle x, x^* \rangle - h(x) \mid x \in X\}$: *conjugate* of h .

$h^*(x^*) := \sup\{\langle x, x^* \rangle - h(x) \mid x \in X\}$: *conjugate* of h .

$h^{**}(x) := \sup\{\langle x, x^* \rangle - h^*(x^*) \mid x^* \in X^*\}$: *bi-conjugate* of h
($h^{**} : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$).

$h^*(x^*) := \sup\{\langle x, x^* \rangle - h(x) \mid x \in X\}$: *conjugate* of h .

$h^{**}(x) := \sup\{\langle x, x^* \rangle - h^*(x^*) \mid x^* \in X^*\}$: *bi-conjugate* of h
($h^{**} : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$).

We have $\{h \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X : h = h^{**}\} = \Gamma(X) \cup \{+\infty\}^X \cup \{-\infty\}^X$.
Moreover, $h^{**} \leq \overline{\text{co}}h$, and the equality holds if h admits a continuous affine minorant.

$h^*(x^*) := \sup\{\langle x, x^* \rangle - h(x) \mid x \in X\}$: *conjugate* of h .

$h^{**}(x) := \sup\{\langle x, x^* \rangle - h^*(x^*) \mid x^* \in X^*\}$: *bi-conjugate* of h
($h^{**} : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$).

We have $\{h \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X : h = h^{**}\} = \Gamma(X) \cup \{+\infty\}^X \cup \{-\infty\}^X$.
Moreover, $h^{**} \leq \overline{\text{co}}h$, and the equality holds if h admits a continuous affine minorant.

$\partial_\varepsilon h(x) := \{x^* \in X^* \mid h(y) - h(x) \geq \langle y - x, x^* \rangle - \varepsilon \forall y \in X\}$:
 ε -subdifferential of h at $x \in h^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ ($\varepsilon \geq 0$).

$\partial_\varepsilon h(x)$ is a w^* -closed convex set, and if h is convex, then

$$\partial_\varepsilon h(x) \neq \emptyset \forall \varepsilon > 0 \iff h \text{ is lsc at } x.$$

3. Optimal set for the *relaxed problem*

Let $h : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. The *relaxed problem* associated with

$$(\mathcal{P}) : \quad \text{minimize } h(x) \quad \text{s.t. } x \in X$$

3. Optimal set for the *relaxed problem*

Let $h : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. The *relaxed problem* associated with

$$(\mathcal{P}) : \quad \text{minimize } h(x) \quad \text{s.t. } x \in X$$

is classically defined as

$$(\mathcal{P}') : \quad \text{minimize } h^{**}(x) \quad \text{s.t. } x \in X.$$

3. Optimal set for the *relaxed problem*

Let $h : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. The *relaxed problem* associated with

$$(\mathcal{P}) : \quad \text{minimize } h(x) \quad \text{s.t. } x \in X$$

is classically defined as

$$(\mathcal{P}') : \quad \text{minimize } h^{**}(x) \quad \text{s.t. } x \in X.$$

The optimal values of both problems coincide:

$$\inf_X h = \inf_X h^{**} =: m \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}.$$

3. Optimal set for the *relaxed problem*

Let $h : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. The *relaxed problem* associated with

$$(\mathcal{P}) : \quad \text{minimize } h(x) \quad \text{s.t. } x \in X$$

is classically defined as

$$(\mathcal{P}') : \quad \text{minimize } h^{**}(x) \quad \text{s.t. } x \in X.$$

The optimal values of both problems coincide:

$$\inf_X h = \inf_X h^{**} =: m \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Our purpose is to obtain the *optimal set of* (\mathcal{P}') , i.e. $\operatorname{argmin} h^{**}$, in terms of the approximate solutions of (\mathcal{P}) , i.e. $\varepsilon - \operatorname{argmin} h$.

3. Optimal set for the *relaxed problem*

Let $h : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. The *relaxed problem* associated with

$$(\mathcal{P}) : \quad \text{minimize } h(x) \quad \text{s.t. } x \in X$$

is classically defined as

$$(\mathcal{P}') : \quad \text{minimize } h^{**}(x) \quad \text{s.t. } x \in X.$$

The optimal values of both problems coincide:

$$\inf_X h = \inf_X h^{**} =: m \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Our purpose is to obtain the *optimal set of (\mathcal{P}')* , i.e. $\operatorname{argmin} h^{**}$, in terms of the approximate solutions of (\mathcal{P}) , i.e. $\varepsilon - \operatorname{argmin} h$. For convenience we set $\varepsilon - \operatorname{argmin} h = \emptyset$ for all $\varepsilon \geq 0$ whenever $m \notin \mathbb{R}$.

Next we present the **main result in this section.**

Next we present the **main result in this section**.

Theorem 1

For any function $h : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\text{dom } h^* \neq \emptyset$, one has

$$\text{argmin } h^{**} = \bigcap_{\substack{\varepsilon > 0 \\ x^* \in \text{dom } h^*}} \overline{\text{co}} \left((\varepsilon - \text{argmin } h) + \{x^*\}^- \right).$$

If $\text{cone}(\text{dom } h^*)$ is w^* -closed or $\text{ri}(\text{cone}(\text{dom } h^*)) \neq \emptyset$, then

$$\text{argmin } h^{**} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \overline{\text{co}} \left((\varepsilon - \text{argmin } h) + (\text{dom } h^*)^- \right).$$

In particular, if $\text{cone}(\text{dom } h^*) = X^*$, then

$$\text{argmin } h^{**} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \overline{\text{co}} (\varepsilon - \text{argmin } h).$$

Now we proceed with a **relevant application of Theorem 1** to the subdifferential calculus.

Now we proceed with a **relevant application of Theorem 1** to the subdifferential calculus.

Theorem 2

For any function $h : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\text{dom } h^* \neq \emptyset$, one has for all $x^* \in X^*$,

$$\partial h^*(x^*) = \bigcap_{\substack{\varepsilon > 0 \\ u^* \in \text{dom } h^*}} \overline{\text{co}} \left((\partial_\varepsilon h)^{-1}(x^*) + \{u^* - x^*\}^- \right).$$

If $\text{cone}((\text{dom } h^*) - x^*)$ is w^* -closed or $\text{ri}(\text{cone}((\text{dom } h^*) - x^*)) \neq \emptyset$, then

$$\partial h^*(x^*) = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \overline{\text{co}} \left((\partial_\varepsilon h)^{-1}(x^*) + \text{N}_{\text{dom } h^*}(x^*) \right).$$

4. Subdifferential of the supremum function

Theorem 3

Given $\{f_t, t \in T\} \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$, $T \neq \emptyset$, consider the supremum function $f := \sup_{t \in T} f_t$. Assume that $\text{dom} f \neq \emptyset$ and that

$$f^{**} \equiv \left(\sup_{t \in T} f_t \right)^{**} = \sup_{t \in T} f_t^{**}. \quad (\text{CC})$$

Then, at every $x \in X$, we have

$$\partial f(x) = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0, z \in \text{dom} f} \overline{\text{co}} \left(\bigcup_{t \in T_\varepsilon(x)} \partial_\varepsilon f_t(x) + \{z - x\}^- \right),$$

where $T_\varepsilon(x) := \{t \in T : f_t(x) \geq f(x) - \varepsilon\}$ if $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $T_\varepsilon(x) = \emptyset$ if $f(x) \notin \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 3

If, moreover, $\text{cone co}(\text{dom } f - x)$ is closed or $\text{ri}(\text{cone co}(\text{dom } f - x)) \neq \emptyset$, then

$$\partial f(x) = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \overline{\text{co}} \left(\bigcup_{t \in T_\varepsilon(x)} \partial_\varepsilon f_t(x) + N_{\text{dom } f}(x) \right).$$

Next we present alternative characterizations of $N_{\text{dom } f}(x)$:

Theorem 3

If, moreover, $\text{cone co}(\text{dom } f - x)$ is closed or $\text{ri}(\text{cone co}(\text{dom } f - x)) \neq \emptyset$, then

$$\partial f(x) = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \overline{\text{co}} \left(\bigcup_{t \in T_\varepsilon(x)} \partial_\varepsilon f_t(x) + N_{\text{dom } f}(x) \right).$$

Next we present alternative characterizations of $N_{\text{dom } f}(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned} x^* \in N_{\text{dom } f}(x) &\iff (x^*, \langle x^*, x \rangle) \in [\overline{\text{co}}(\cup_{t \in T} \text{gph } f_t^*)]_\infty \\ &\iff (x^*, \langle x^*, z \rangle) \in [\overline{\text{co}}(\cup_{t \in T} \text{epi } f_t^*)]_\infty \\ &\iff (x^*, \langle x^*, z \rangle) \in (\text{epi } f^*)_\infty \\ &\iff (x^*, \langle x^*, z \rangle) \in \text{epi}(\sigma_{\text{dom } f}). \end{aligned}$$

Let us define now

$$\mathcal{F}_{x^*} := \left\{ L \subset X^* \mid \begin{array}{l} L \text{ is a finite-dimensional linear subspace} \\ \text{such that } x^* \in L \end{array} \right\},$$

Theorem 5 (HaLoZa'08)

Given nonempty family $\{f_t, t \in T\} \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}}^X$, consider the supremum function $f := \sup_{t \in T} f_t$, and assume that $\text{dom } f \neq \emptyset$ and condition (CC) holds, i.e.

$$f^{**} = \sup_{t \in T} f_t^{**}.$$

Then, for every $x \in X$,

$$\partial f(x) = \bigcap_{\epsilon > 0, L \in \mathcal{F}_x} \text{cl} \left(\text{co} \left(\bigcup_{t \in T_\epsilon(x)} \partial_\epsilon f_t(x) \right) + N_{L \cap \text{dom } f}(x) \right).$$

Corollary 1

Assume that $T \neq \emptyset$ and $f(x) := \sup\{\langle a_t^*, x \rangle - \beta_t \mid t \in T\}$, with $a_t^* \in X^*$ and $\beta_t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, for every $x \in X$ we have

$$\partial f(x) = \bigcap_{L \in \mathcal{F}_x, \varepsilon > 0} \text{cl}(\text{co}\{a_t^* \mid t \in T_\varepsilon(x)\} + B_L),$$

where

$$x^* \in B_L \Leftrightarrow (x^*, \langle x^*, x \rangle) \in \left[\overline{\text{co}} \left((L^\perp \times \{0\}) \cup \{(a_t^*, \beta_t), t \in T\} \right) \right]_\infty.$$

Corollary 2

Let $\{f_t : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} \mid t \in T\}$ be a non-empty family of convex functions and set $f := \sup_{t \in T} f_t$. Assume that one of the following conditions holds:

(1) - All the functions f_t with $t \in T$ are lsc.

(2) - $\exists x_0 \in \text{dom } f$ such that f_t is continuous at x_0 , $\forall t \in T$.

(3) - $T := \{1, \dots, k, k+1\}$ and $\exists x_0 \in \text{dom } f_{k+1} \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^k \text{dom } f_i)$ such that f_1, \dots, f_k are continuous at x_0 .

(4) - $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\text{dom } f \cap (\bigcap_{t \in T} \text{ri}(\text{dom } f_t))$ is nonempty.

Then, (CC) holds and for every $x \in X$

$$\partial f(x) = \bigcap_{L \in \mathcal{F}_x, \varepsilon > 0} \text{cl} \left(\text{co} \left(\bigcup_{t \in T_\varepsilon(x)} \partial_\varepsilon f_t(x) \right) + N_{L \cap \text{dom } f}(x) \right).$$

Theorem

Let $\{f_t : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} \mid t \in T\}$ be a non-empty family of convex functions, and set $f := \sup_{t \in T} f_t$. Assume that $\text{ri}(\text{dom} f) \neq \emptyset$. Then, we have

$$\partial f(z) = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \text{cl} \left(\overline{\text{co}} \left(\bigcup_{t \in T_\varepsilon(z)} \partial_\varepsilon f_t(z) \right) + N_{\text{dom} f}(z) \right).$$

Theorem (Volle [22], for normed spaces)

If, additionally, f is finite and continuous at $z \in X$, then

$$\partial f(z) = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \overline{\text{co}} \left(\bigcup_{t \in T_\varepsilon(z)} \partial_\varepsilon f_t(z) \right).$$

Proof. f is finite and continuous at z and so, $z \in \text{int}(\text{dom} f)$, entailing $N_{\text{dom} f}(z) = \{\theta\}$. Further, as $z \in \bigcap_{t \in T} \text{int}(\text{dom} f_t)$, condition (2) of Corollary 2 yields $\bar{f} = \sup_{t \in T} \bar{f}_t$, and so the conclusion follows. ■

4. Other calculus rules

- X (separated) real locally convex space.
- $f, g : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ convex functions.

Our formula for the subdifferential of the supremum also yields **calculus rules** for some other operations, as the sum $g + f$.

Theorem

Assume that the following holds

$$\overline{g + f} = \bar{g} + \bar{f}.$$

Then, for every $z \in X$ we have

$$\partial(g + f)(z) = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \text{cl}(\partial_\varepsilon g(z) + \partial_\varepsilon f(z)).$$

If f and g are lsc we recover the Hiriart-Urruty & Phelps formula.

Now we recover the Moreau-Rockafellar result:

Theorem

Let $f, g : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be convex functions. Assume that f is finite and continuous at x_0 for some $x_0 \in \text{dom } g$. Then

$$\overline{g + f} = \bar{g} + \bar{f},$$

and

$$\partial(f + g)(z) = \partial f(z) + \partial g(z).$$

Weakening assumptions

Consider two convex functions $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$.

1) If X is finite-dimensional and

$$\text{ri}(\text{dom } f) \cap \text{ri}(\text{dom } g) \neq \emptyset, \quad (1)$$

then

$$\partial(f + g) = \partial f + \partial g. \quad (2)$$

2) If X is a (real) separated locally convex space, (2) holds if

$$\text{dom } f \cap \text{cont } g \neq \emptyset \text{ OR } \text{cont } f \cap \text{dom } g \neq \emptyset. \quad (3)$$

3) (2) also follows replacing (1) by

$$g \text{ is polyhedral AND } \text{dom } g \cap \text{ri}(\text{dom } f) \neq \emptyset.$$

4) If $f, g \in \Gamma(X)$, we have

$$\partial(f + g)(x) = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \text{cl}(\partial_\varepsilon f(x) + \partial_\varepsilon g(x)). \quad (4)$$

The following formula uses the weaker condition that the domains of the involved functions overlap *quasi-sufficiently*.

The following formula uses the weaker condition that the domains of the involved functions overlap *quasi-sufficiently*. The required assumptions are at an intermediate level of generality between the Moreau-Rockafellar's (2) and the Hiriart-Urruty and Phelps formulas (4).

The following formula uses the weaker condition that the domains of the involved functions overlap *quasi-sufficiently*. The required assumptions are at an intermediate level of generality between the Moreau-Rockafellar's (2) and the Hiriart-Urruty and Phelps formulas (4).

Theorem

Let f and g be two convex functions defined on X and satisfying $\overline{f + g} = \overline{f} + g$. Given $x \in X$ such that $g(x) \in \mathbb{R}$, we assume either

- (i) $\mathbb{R}_+(\text{epi } g - (x, g(x)))$ is closed or
- (ii) $\text{dom } f \cap \text{ri}(\text{dom } g) \neq \emptyset$ and $g|_{\text{aff}(\text{dom } g)}$ is continuous on $\text{ri}(\text{dom } g)$.

Then

$$\partial(f + g)(x) = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \text{cl}(\partial_\varepsilon f(x) + \partial g(x)). \quad (5)$$

Conditions (1) $\overline{f + g} = \bar{f} + g$ and (2) $\overline{f + g} = \bar{f} + \bar{g}$ are not comparable in general: On one hand, for the case $f = g$, condition (2) reads $2\bar{f} = \bar{f} + \bar{f}$, which is obviously always true, while the validity of (1) requires the lsc of f . On the other hand, (1) and (2) are equivalent whenever function g is lsc.

Conditions (1) $\overline{f+g} = \bar{f} + g$ and (2) $\overline{f+g} = \bar{f} + \bar{g}$ are not comparable in general: On one hand, for the case $f = g$, condition (2) reads $2\bar{f} = \bar{f} + \bar{f}$, which is obviously always true, while the validity of (1) requires the lsc of f . On the other hand, (1) and (2) are equivalent whenever function g is lsc. This other result can be found in [CoHaLo'16].

Theorem





If $\text{ri}(\text{dom } f) \cap \text{ri}(\text{dom } g) \neq \emptyset$, and $f|_{\text{aff}(\text{dom } f)}$ and $g|_{\text{aff}(\text{dom } g)}$ are respectively continuous on $\text{ri}(\text{dom } f)$ and $\text{ri}(\text{dom } g)$, we have, for every $x \in X$,






$$\partial(f+g)(x) = \text{cl}(\partial f(x) + \partial g(x)).$$





In addition, if one of the subdifferential sets of f or g at x is locally compact, then this last formula reduces to the exact rule






$$\partial(f+g)(x) = \partial f(x) + \partial g(x).$$





References





-  [1] A. Brøndsted: On the subdifferential of the supremum of two convex functions, *Math. Scand.*, **31** (1972), 225–230.
-  [2] R. Correa, A. Hantoute, M.A. López: Weaker conditions for the subdifferential calculus of convex functions, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **271** (2016), 1177-1212.
-  [3] A. Hantoute, M.A. López: A complete characterization of the subdifferential set of the supremum of an arbitrary family of convex functions, *J. Convex Anal.*, **15** (2008), 831-858.
-  [4] A. Hantoute, M.A. López, C. Zălinescu: Subdifferential calculus rules in convex analysis: A unifying approach via pointwise supremum functions, *SIAM J. Optim.* **19** (2008), 863-882.

-  [5] J.-B. Hiriart-Urruty, R.R. Phelps: Subdifferential calculus using ε -subdifferentials, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **118** (1993), 154–166.
-  [6] J.-B. Hiriart-Urruty, M. Moussaoui, A. Seeger, M. Volle: Subdifferential calculus without qualification conditions, using approximate subdifferentials: A survey, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **24** (1995), 1727–1754.
-  [7] A.D. Ioffe, V.L. Levin: Subdifferentials of convex functions, *Trudy Moskov Mat. Obshch*, **26** (1972) 3–73 (Russian).
-  [8] A.D. Ioffe, V.H. Tikhomirov: *Theory of Extremal Problems*, Studies in Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 6, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1979.
-  [9] F. Jules, M. Lassonde: Formulas for subdifferentials of sums of convex functions, *J. Convex Anal.*, **9** (2002), 519–533.



-  [10] V.L. Levin: An application of Helly's theorem in convex programming, problems of best approximation and related questions. *Mat. Sb., Nov. Ser.* **79**(121) (1969), 250–263. English transl.: *Math. USSR, Sb.* 8, 235-247.
-  [11] J.-J. Moreau: *Fonctionnelles convexes*, Rome: Istituto Poligrafico e Zecca dello Stato, 2003.
-  [12] J.-P. Penot: Subdifferential calculus without qualification assumptions, *J. Convex Anal.*, **3** (1996), 207–219.
-  [13] R.R. Phelps: *Convex functions, monotone operators and differentiability*, 2nd ed., *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol 1364, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1993).

-  [14] B.N. Pschenichnyi: Convex programming in a normalized space, *Kibernetika*, **5** (1965), 46–54 (Russian); translated as *Cybernetics* 1 (1965) no. 5, 46–57 (1966).
-  [15] R.T. Rockafellar: Directionally Lipschitzian functions and subdifferential calculus, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **39** (1979), 331–355.
-  [16] R.T. Rockafellar: *Convex Analysis*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.
-  [17] R.T. Rockafellar: *Conjugate Duality and Optimization*, in: CBMS Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics **16**, SIAM VI, Philadelphia, Pa. (1974).
-  [18] V.N. Solov'ev: The subdifferential and the directional derivatives of the maximum of a family of convex functions, *Izvestiya RAN: Ser. Mat.*, **65** (2001), 107–132.

-  [19] L. Thibault: Sequential convex subdifferential calculus and sequential Lagrange multipliers, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, **35** (1997), 1434–1444.
-  [20] V.M. Tikhomirov: *Analysis II, Convex Analysis and Approximation Theory*, RX Gamkrelidze (Ed.), Encyclopedia of Mathematics Vol 14 (1987).
-  [21] M. Valadier: Sous-différentiels d'une borne supérieure et d'une somme continue de fonctions convexes, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* **268** (1969), A39–A42.
-  [22] M. Volle: Sous-différentiel d'une enveloppe supérieure de fonctions convexes, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **317** (1993), 845–849.

-  [23] M. Volle: On the subdifferential of an upper envelope of convex functions, *Acta Math. Vietnam.*, **19** (1994), 137–148.
-  [24] C. Zalinescu: Stability for a class of nonlinear optimization problems and applications, in *Nonsmooth optimization and related topics* (Erice, 1988), 437–458, *Ettore Majorana Internat. Sci. Ser. Phys. Sci.*, 43, Plenum, New York, 1989.
-  [25] C. Zalinescu: On several results about convex set functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **328** (2007), 1451–1470.
-  [26] C. Zalinescu: *Convex Analysis in General Vector Spaces*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2002.

New references:

-  J.-B. Hiriart-Urruty, M.A. López, M. Volle: The ε -strategy in variational analysis, 2009.
-  M.A. López, M. Volle: A formula for the set of optimal solutions of a relaxed minimization problem. Applications to subdifferential calculus, *J. Convex Anal.*, to appear.